

# INTERNATIONAL SEARCH REPORT

In. tional Application No  
PCT/GB 98/02109

**A. CLASSIFICATION OF SUBJECT MATTER**  
IPC 6 A61K31/64 A61K31/44 // (A61K31/64, 31:44)

According to International Patent Classification(IPC) or to both national classification and IPC

**B. FIELDS SEARCHED**

Minimum documentation searched (classification system followed by classification symbols)  
IPC 6 A61K

Documentation searched other than minimum documentation to the extent that such documents are included in the fields searched

Electronic data base consulted during the international search (name of data base and, where practical, search terms used)

**C. DOCUMENTS CONSIDERED TO BE RELEVANT**

Category	Citation of document, with indication, where appropriate, of the relevant passages	Relevant to claim No.
X	KELLEY D.E. ET AL: "Troglitazone" CURRENT OPINION IN ENDOCRINOLOGY AND DIABETES, 1998, 5/2 (90-96), XP002080820 United States see page 93, column 2 ---	1-4, 13-16, 19-21
X	SCHEEN AJ ET AL: "Oral antidiabetic agents. A guide to selection." DRUGS, FEB 1998, 55 (2) P225-36, XP002080821 NEW ZEALAND see page 232, column 2, paragraph 1; figure 1 ---	1-4, 13-16, 19-21 -/-

Further documents are listed in the continuation of box C.

Patent family members are listed in annex.

**\* Special categories of cited documents :**

- "A" document defining the general state of the art which is not considered to be of particular relevance
- "E" earlier document but published on or after the international filing date
- "L" document which may throw doubts on priority claim(s) or which cited to establish the publication date of another citation or other special reason (as specified)
- "O" document referring to an oral disclosure, use, exhibition or other means
- "P" document published prior to the international filing date but later than the priority date claimed

- "T" later document published after the international filing date or priority date and not in conflict with the application but cited to understand the principle or theory underlying the invention
- "X" document of particular relevance; the claimed invention cannot be considered novel or cannot be considered to involve an inventive step when the document is taken alone
- "Y" document of particular relevance; the claimed invention cannot be considered to involve an inventive step when the document is combined with one or more other such documents, such combination being obvious to a person skilled in the art.
- "&" document member of the same patent family

Date of the actual completion of the international search

16 October 1998

Date of mailing of the international search report

02/11/1998

Name and mailing address of the ISA

European Patent Office, P.B. 5818 Patentlaan 2  
NL - 2280 HV Rijswijk  
Tel. (+31-70) 340-2040, Tx. 31 651 epo nl,  
Fax: (+31-70) 340-3016

Authorized officer

Gonzalez Ramon, N

**INTERNATIONAL SEARCH REPORT**

International Application No

PCT/GB 98/02109

C.(Continuation) DOCUMENTS CONSIDERED TO BE RELEVANT		
Category	Citation of document, with indication, where appropriate, of the relevant passages	Relevant to claim No.
X	IWAMOTO Y ET AL: "EFFECT OF COMBINATION THERAPY OF TROGLITAZONE AND SULPHONYLUREAS INPATIENTS WITH TYPE 2 DIABETES WHO WERE POORLY CONTROLLED BY SULPHONYLUREA THERAPY ALONE" DIABETIC MEDICINE, vol. 13, no. 4, April 1996, pages 365-370, XP002064289 see abstract ---	1-4, 13-16, 19-21
E	WO 98 36755 A (WARNER LAMBERT CO ;WHITCOMB RANDALL W (US)) 27 August 1998 see page 4, line 30; table 5 see page 71, paragraph 2; claims 1-8 ---	1-21
E	EP 0 861 666 A (TAKEDA CHEMICAL INDUSTRIES LTD) 2 September 1998 see page 8, line 32-42 see page 9, line 45-55 see page 13; claims 24-26; example 2 ---	1-21
A	SCHEEN AJ: "Drug treatment of non-insulin-dependent diabetes mellitus in the 1990s. Achievements and future developments." DRUGS, SEP 1997, 54 (3) P355-68, XP002080822 NEW ZEALAND see page 359; figures 1,2 see page 363, column 1, paragraph 2 ---	1-21
A	WO 93 03724 A (UPJOHN CO) 4 March 1993 see claims 3,4,10,11 ---	1-21
X	EP 0 749 751 A (TAKEDA CHEMICAL INDUSTRIES LTD) 27 December 1996 see page 9, line 42-55; claims 24-26; example 2 see page 8, line 32-34 -----	1-21

**INTERNATIONAL SEARCH REPORT**

Information on patent family members

Int	ional Application No
PCT/GB 98/02109	

Patent document cited in search report	Publication date	Patent family member(s)		Publication date
WO 9836755	A 27-08-1998	NONE		
EP 0861666	A 02-09-1998	AU 5603496 A	09-01-1997	
		CA 2179584 A	21-12-1996	
		CN 1145783 A	26-03-1997	
		CZ 9601811 A	15-01-1997	
		EP 0749751 A	27-12-1996	
		HU 9601698 A	28-05-1997	
		JP 9067271 A	11-03-1997	
		JP 10167986 A	23-06-1998	
		NO 962606 A	23-12-1996	
		SK 79496 A	08-01-1997	
WO 9303724	A 04-03-1993	AT 151634 T	15-05-1997	
		AU 2479492 A	16-03-1993	
		DE 69219136 D	22-05-1997	
		DE 69219136 T	28-08-1997	
		DK 601001 T	20-10-1997	
		EP 0601001 A	15-06-1994	
		ES 2101866 T	16-07-1997	
		GR 3023848 T	30-09-1997	
		JP 6510286 T	17-11-1994	
EP 0749751	A 27-12-1996	AU 5603496 A	09-01-1997	
		CA 2179584 A	21-12-1996	
		CN 1145783 A	26-03-1997	
		CZ 9601811 A	15-01-1997	
		EP 0861666 A	02-09-1998	
		HU 9601698 A	28-05-1997	
		JP 9067271 A	11-03-1997	
		JP 10167986 A	23-06-1998	
		NO 962606 A	23-12-1996	
		SK 79496 A	08-01-1997	

## INTERNATIONAL SEARCH REPORT

...international application No.

PCT/GB 98/02109

### Box I Observations where certain claims were found unsearchable (Continuation of Item 1 of first sheet)

This International Search Report has not been established in respect of certain claims under Article 17(2)(a) for the following reasons:

1.  Claims Nos.: because they relate to subject matter not required to be searched by this Authority, namely:  
**Remark:** Although claim(s) 1-13 is(are) directed to a method of treatment of the human/animal body, the search has been carried out and based on the alleged effects of the compound/composition.
2.  Claims Nos.: because they relate to parts of the International Application that do not comply with the prescribed requirements to such an extent that no meaningful International Search can be carried out, specifically:
3.  Claims Nos.: because they are dependent claims and are not drafted in accordance with the second and third sentences of Rule 6.4(a).

### Box II Observations where unity of invention is lacking (Continuation of Item 2 of first sheet)

This International Searching Authority found multiple inventions in this international application, as follows:

1.  As all required additional search fees were timely paid by the applicant, this International Search Report covers all searchable claims.
2.  As all searchable claims could be searched without effort justifying an additional fee, this Authority did not invite payment of any additional fee.
3.  As only some of the required additional search fees were timely paid by the applicant, this International Search Report covers only those claims for which fees were paid, specifically claims Nos.:
4.  No required additional search fees were timely paid by the applicant. Consequently, this International Search Report is restricted to the invention first mentioned in the claims; it is covered by claims Nos.:

#### Remark on Protest

The additional search fees were accompanied by the applicant's protest.

No protest accompanied the payment of additional search fees.